| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/579,215  | HUDACK ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | SUSANNAH CHUNG  | 1626   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) <u>SUSANNAH CHUNG</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>HUI C. WAUTERS</u> .   | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 10 September 2009  | Time: <u>3PM</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>6, 13, AND 14  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>To clarify claims 6 and 13, insert phrase from claim 7 into claims  |   |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writt<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| (.  | Applicant/Applicant's Representa                                  | tive Signature – if appropriate)                           |